Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/552,460	SARDO, ALBERTO	LBERTO	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Elizabeth Gwartney	1794		

	Elizabeth Gwartney	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.		
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113. (or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensi-have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensi-have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to detension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension state of the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; set forth in (b) above. If checked, Ayr reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if time may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);		
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a company 			he issues for	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	oted ciairris.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all				
non-allowable claim(s). Not preproposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 39-71.		be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).	
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. \(\overline{\text{Z}}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attachment to Advisory Action.				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
/KEITH D. HENDRICKS/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/E. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)